```
L83HRheP
1
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
     -----x
2
3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                            20 Cr. 160 (MKV)
 4
                V.
5
     KRISTIAN RHEIN,
6
                                           Remote Plea
                    Defendant.
 7
     -----x
8
                                            New York, N.Y.
9
                                            August 3, 2021
                                            11:05 a.m.
10
     Before:
11
12
                        HON. MARY KAY VYSKOCIL,
13
                                            District Judge
14
                              APPEARANCES
15
     AUDREY STRAUSS
          United States Attorney for the
          Southern District of New York
16
     SARAH MORTAZAVI
17
          Assistant United States Attorney
18
     STEPHEN P. SCARING
          Attorney for Defendant
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(The Court and all parties present remotely) 1 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Good morning. We're here in the 2 3 matter of 20 Cr. 160, United States of America v. Kristian 4 Rhein. Counsel, starting with the government, please state 5 6 your name for the record. 7 MS. MORTAZAVI: Good morning, your Honor. This is Sarah Mortazavi, for the government. 8 9 THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Mortazavi. 10 MR. SCARING: Good morning, your Honor. Stephen 11 Scaring, for the defendant. The defendant is present next to 12 me. 13 THE COURT: All right. That's Kristian Rhein? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. 16 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning. 17 THE COURT: All right. We also have a court reporter 18 with us. Ms. Robles, are you able to hear me and, more 19 importantly, counsel and the defendant clearly? 20 THE REPORTER: Yes, Judge, but Mr. Scaring is not on 21 my screen. 22 THE COURT: Yes, mine either, actually. 23 It would be better, gentlemen, if you would move the 24 laptop or computer further away from you or if you move closer 25 together to each other. I appreciate the COVID restrictions,

22

23

24

25

but now I can't see the defendant. He can move back a little 1 2 and over, too. Thank you. 3 MR. SCARING: How is that? 4 THE COURT: We still can't see you, Mr. Scaring, but 5 that's all right. When you speak at least, if you would lean 6 forward, that would be appreciated. 7 MR. SCARING: I will do that. 8 THE COURT: OK. Thank you. 9 We're here this morning for a change of plea hearing 10 for Dr. Rhein. The Court has been informed that the defendant 11 wishes to waive indictment and to plead quilty to a one-count 12 superseding information. 13 Is that correct, Ms. Mortazavi? 14 MS. MORTAZAVI: That is correct, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scaring? 16 MR. SCARING: That is correct, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Before we go any further, it 18 is Dr. Rhein, right? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, before we go any

THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, before we go any further, do you speak and understand English clearly?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You do not require the services of an interpreter?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

25

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 1 I'd note for the record that counsel and the defendant 2 3 are appearing before me by videoconference. The defendant and 4 his lawyer, Mr. Scaring, are present together in the same room. 5 Let me just confirm with each participant that you can 6 all hear me clearly. 7 Ms. Mortazavi? 8 MS. MORTAZAVI: Yes, I can hear and see you, your 9 Honor. 10 THE COURT: And that includes the defendant and his 11 counsel as well, right? 12 MS. MORTAZAVI: That's right. 13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Mortazavi. 14 Mr. Scaring, can you hear and see me and Ms. Mortazavi 15 clearly? 16 MR. SCARING: Yes, I can, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And Dr. Rhein? 18 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I can, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. Sir, you are most important of 21 all today, so if at any point, you cannot hear me or 22 Ms. Mortazavi, or you can't understand what I'm saying, it's 23 very important that you stop me and that we take care of any

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

technical difficulties. All right?

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

So, also, Dr. Rhein, if at any point you would like to speak privately with your attorney, would you let me know that, and we will make arrangements to mute the rest of us or the rest of us will log off and come back on to give you privacy?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. So, needless to say, we remain in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic, and as a result, I'm conducting this proceeding remotely by videoconference pursuant to the authority provided by Section 15002 of the CARES Act and standing orders issued by our chief judges pursuant to that act.

The CARES Act provides that a court may conduct a felony plea proceeding by videoconference when (1) the chief judge of the district orders that proceedings cannot be conducted in person without seriously jeopardizing public health and safety; and (2) when a district judge in a particular case, in this case that is me, finds for specific reasons that the plea in that case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interest of justice. By standing order dated June 15, Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain found that felony pleas cannot be conducted in person without seriously jeopardizing public health.

Before today's proceeding, I received from the

government a proposed order and an affirmation which was filed under seal. That was sent to the Court by email requesting that today's proceedings not be delayed. Before I proceed with the specific finding that I need to make, Ms. Mortazavi, why does that affirmation need to be under seal?

MS. MORTAZAVI: Your Honor, we made that request out of an abundance of caution because it does, at least glancingly, refer to the defendant and counsel's health concerns. If defense and counsel -- I'm sorry. If defense counsel and the defendant have no objection, we have no objection to it being filed publicly.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scaring, I have to say that, frankly, other than alluding to COVID-19, I do not really see anything in this affirmation that required it to be under seal, and that's not anything unique to Dr. Rhein. That's a public safety and health concern across the board.

Have you reviewed that affirmation, Mr. Scaring?

MR. SCARING: I have, your Honor, and I would agree with your Honor that it does not have to be filed under seal.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Mortazavi, I would request, then, that you please file it on the public docket.

MS. MORTAZAVI: Certainly, your Honor. We will do that after this proceeding.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

So the affirmation that I received from the government

states that Dr. Rhein's interest in expeditiously resolving the charges against him, as well as the escalated risk to him from traveling to New York for this proceeding in light of the COVID-19 pandemic constitutes specific reasons that this proceeding should not be delayed.

Are there any additional reasons, Mr. Scaring, why today's proceeding cannot be delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice?

MR. SCARING: No, your Honor. We are ready to proceed, and your Honor has outlined the reasons why it's been requested that it be done by videoconference.

THE COURT: All right. In addition to the reasons stated by the government, the Court does find for those reasons and for the additional reason that it allows Dr. Rhein to resolve a criminal case and end the difficult and stressful situation of having an unresolved criminal proceeding pending against him. Moving forward also promotes judicial economy and avoids adding to the inevitable backlog of cases in the federal court system and the flood of requests for hearings that are going to take place once we can all safely proceed in person after the COVID-19 pandemic has abated. And for those reasons, I do find that this proceeding cannot be delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice, and we will, therefore, proceed today remotely.

Now, as I think you know, Dr. Rhein, you have a right

to be present before me in open court in order to enter a plea today. I have received, in advance of today's conference, two documents: The first, a waiver of your right to be present at a criminal proceeding, and the second, a consent to proceed by videoconference. One issue I need to raise first with your lawyer — two issues, actually. Mr. Scaring, you do not seem to have signed the waiver of right to be present at criminal proceedings.

MR. SCARING: I have -- I'm sorry, your Honor, I did not. It's copied on the back page, but I will sign it here.

THE COURT: All right. And then if you please scan that and get it to Ms. Dempsey after today's proceeding.

Assuming everything is in order and I accept it, I will then endorse it.

More importantly, though, with respect to the consent to proceed by videoconference, it appears to be signed by Dr. Rhein -- I'll confirm that in a moment -- and by you, but you've checked the box that says "Arraignment." We are not here for an arraignment today; we're here for a plea. Now, I do acknowledge the final line says "Misdemeanor Plea," but you need to cross that out and check "Felony Plea" if that, in fact, is what is being consented to conduct by videoconference.

MR. SCARING: Yes, your Honor. The reason I put arraignment is because on the information, he has not been arraigned.

```
THE COURT: I see. So we're doing both, technically.
1
               MR. SCARING: I will change that and forward it.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Check both, then, sir.
 4
               MR. SCARING: I did.
 5
               THE COURT: You are correct, then, he is going to be
6
      arraigned on the information and enter a plea of quilty,
 7
      correct?
 8
               MR. SCARING: Yes, your Honor.
9
               THE COURT: That's what you intend to do today?
10
               MR. SCARING: I'm sorry, your Honor?
11
               THE COURT: That's what you're intending to do today?
12
               MR. SCARING: Yes, your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: All right. Then change misdemeanor,
14
      obviously, to felony, please.
15
               MR. SCARING: I've done that, and I'll forward it.
16
               THE COURT: All right.
17
               Dr. Rhein, I want you to listen carefully to the
      conversation I'd like to have with Mr. Scaring.
18
               Mr. Scaring, I'd like you first to explain to me how
19
20
      these documents were provided to Dr. Rhein, whether you've
21
      explained to him that he has a right to appear before me in
22
      open court pursuant to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
23
      in order to be arraigned on the information and to enter a
24
      quilty plea.
25
               Do you believe, based on the conversations you've had
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with him, that Dr. Rhein understands those rights and is willingly giving up his rights? MR. SCARING: Yes, your Honor, I'll do that. The documents were emailed to me. I had Dr. Rhein come into my office. I discussed both documents with him, advised him what his rights were with regard to these waivers. He said he understood it. It was clear to me that he did understand it. I asked him if he had any questions. He did not have any questions. He did not have any questions, and he executed the documents in my presence in my office. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scaring, is that your signature on the consent to proceed by videoconference? MR. SCARING: It is, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. And you will affix your signature to the waiver of the right to be present at a criminal proceeding? MR. SCARING: I will do that, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, do you have copies of these documents, or can you --THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do, in front of me. THE COURT: All right. I wish to confirm, then, is that your signature on the waiver of your right to be present at criminal proceedings?

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have a right to

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appear before me in open court in order to be arraigned and to enter a plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you consent, instead, to proceed today by videoconference, both to be arraigned on the information and to enter a plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you discuss these forms with Mr. Scaring before you signed them?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I did.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions with respect to your rights?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

All right. I have one additional question for the government before we move forward to the waiver of indictment and arraignment and thereafter the plea.

The documents that I've received talk about a preliminary order of forfeiture. I do not have any such order.

MS. MORTAZAVI: Mr. Scaring informed me just before this proceeding that he had provided them to chambers, so I apologize if the Court has not received them. I did receive them and can forward them now, unless Mr. Scaring wants to clarify. I don't mean to speak for him.

THE COURT: Mr. Scaring?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCARING: I'm not sure that I actually did forward it to chambers, your Honor. I have forwarded it to the government. My recollection is that I forwarded to the government all the documents and requested that they would review them and then forward them to the Court. THE COURT: All right. Would someone please get them to the Court? I'll pause for a moment to -- Ms. Mortazavi, are you doing that? MS. MORTAZAVI: (Nods head.) THE COURT: Yes, thank you. MS. MORTAZAVI: Sorry, your Honor. I was just on mute, but I am in the process of doing that now. THE COURT: Thank you. I'm told by my clerk that he does now have it. I don't know where we got it from since you're both saying you didn't send it. MR. SCARING: Actually, your Honor, I now recall that I did send it, probably two or three days ago. THE COURT: OK.

MR. SCARING: In any event --

MS. MORTAZAVI: Your Honor, I also just forwarded the document, so it should be at the top of chambers' inbox, hopefully.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I do have it. If you'll just give me a moment, though, I have to try to print it

out.

As I think you know, I try to very carefully review these things before the hearing. So excuse me one moment. I'm going to just pause my video while I pick it up from my printer.

MS. MORTAZAVI: Certainly, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll be right back with you all.

(Pause)

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. I have received the preliminary order of forfeiture and reviewed it, and I will enter that order as soon as we conclude today's proceedings after I discuss it with Dr. Rhein.

So, Dr. Rhein, I'm informed that you have an application to withdraw your plea of not guilty, and pursuant to an agreement that you've entered into with the government, waive indictment and enter a plea of guilty to a one-count superseding information numbered S8 in Case 20 Cr. 160. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Mortazavi, is that consistent with the government's understanding?

MS. MORTAZAVI: It is, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Dr. Rhein, Count One charges you with drug adulteration and misbranding of drugs, in violation of

21 U.S.C. Section 331 and 333(a)(2) and 18 United States Code, Section 2. In particular, the government alleges that you marketed and distributed misbranded and adulterated drugs to horse trainers and veterinarians of thoroughbred racehorses in an effort to assist those people and to improve the performance of the horses while avoiding detection of that misbranding and adulteration scheme by drug regulators.

Is that accurate, Ms. Mortazavi?

MS. MORTAZAVI: It is, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Scaring?

MR. SCARING: It is, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Dempsey -- well,

Dr. Rhein, before I accept your plea of guilty, there are a number of questions that I need to ask you, and I need for you to be under oath while I ask you those questions. The purpose of the questions is to ensure that your plea is a valid one. I may at times cover a point more than once, and I may cover matters that were addressed in various forms or agreements that you've signed. The reason I'm doing that is because it's very important that you understand what's happening today. If you don't understand something, I ask you, please tell me that, and I'll reword my question or I'll give you the opportunity to talk with Mr. Scaring.

Will you do that, please?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
2	Ms. Dempsey, would you please administer the oath to
3	Dr. Rhein.
4	(Defendant sworn)
5	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. You can lower your
6	hand.
7	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
8	THE COURT: Dr. Rhein, do you understand that you have
9	now solemnly promised to tell the truth, and that if you answer
10	any of my questions falsely, your false or untrue answers may
11	later be used against you in another prosecution for perjury or
12	for making a false statement?
13	Do you understand that?
14	THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right. Can you tell me, sir, how old
15 16	THE COURT: All right. Can you tell me, sir, how old are you?
16	are you?
16 17	are you? THE DEFENDANT: I'm 49.
16 17 18	are you? THE DEFENDANT: I'm 49. THE COURT: And how far did you go in school?
16 17 18 19	are you? THE DEFENDANT: I'm 49. THE COURT: And how far did you go in school? THE DEFENDANT: I graduated from veterinary school.
16 17 18 19 20	are you? THE DEFENDANT: I'm 49. THE COURT: And how far did you go in school? THE DEFENDANT: I graduated from veterinary school. THE COURT: All right. That's post college?
16 17 18 19 20 21	are you? THE DEFENDANT: I'm 49. THE COURT: And how far did you go in school? THE DEFENDANT: I graduated from veterinary school. THE COURT: All right. That's post college? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. Yes, your Honor.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are you? THE DEFENDANT: I'm 49. THE COURT: And how far did you go in school? THE DEFENDANT: I graduated from veterinary school. THE COURT: All right. That's post college? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: How many years?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are you? THE DEFENDANT: I'm 49. THE COURT: And how far did you go in school? THE DEFENDANT: I graduated from veterinary school. THE COURT: All right. That's post college? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: How many years? THE DEFENDANT: Four years.

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right. And you speak and understand
3	English well?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Were you born here, or were you
8	naturalized as a United States citizen?
9	THE DEFENDANT: I was born in the United States, your
10	Honor.
11	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12	Are you now, or have you recently been, under the care
13	of a medical doctor?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, for cancer. I had
15	testicular cancer that had metastasized in 2013, so I was
16	treated at Sloan Kettering Hospital for a year.
17	THE COURT: When was that, sir?
18	THE DEFENDANT: In 2013.
19	THE COURT: Are you still under the care of a medical
20	doctor?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Just for yearly checkups at this
22	point, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	Are you now, or have you recently been, under the care
25	of any mental health professionals?

1	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized
3	for any mental illness?
4	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized
6	for any addiction, including drugs or alcohol?
7	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Have you ever been addicted to drugs or to
9	alcohol?
10	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any
12	drugs, any medication, any pills whatsoever?
13	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you had any
15	alcohol to drink?
16	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Is your mind clear today, Dr. Rhein?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Are you feeling well physically? Are you
20	healthy today?
21	
	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Are you represented by counsel, sir?
22	
	THE COURT: Are you represented by counsel, sir?
23	THE COURT: Are you represented by counsel, sir? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

```
1
               THE COURT: Are you satisfied with the representation
      you've received from Mr. Scaring?
 2
 3
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: You've had an ample opportunity to consult
      with him in advance of today's hearing?
 5
6
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: Do you need any more time to talk to
8
     Mr. Scaring before we proceed?
9
               THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Do either counsel have any
11
      doubt as to the defendant's competence to plead at this time?
               Mr. Scaring?
12
13
               MR. SCARING: No, your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Ms. Mortazavi?
15
               MS. MORTAZAVI: No, your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, your attorney has
17
      informed me that you wish to withdraw your plea of not guilty,
18
      that you wish to waive indictment, and to enter a plea of
19
      quilty to a superseding information. Is that, in fact, what
20
      you wish to do today?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: Have you discussed your case fully with
23
      your attorney, including the charges to which you intend to
24
     plead quilty and any possible defenses to those charges?
25
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
```

25

1 THE COURT: Have you discussed with Mr. Scaring the consequences of entering a plea of guilty? 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with Mr. Scaring's 5 advice to you in connection with these issues? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: All right. On the basis of Dr. Rhein's responses to my questions and my observation of his demeanor, I 8 9 do find that he's fully competent to enter an informed plea at 10 this time. 11 Now, Dr. Rhein, before we get to the plea itself, I 12 need to make sure that you understand the rights you're giving 13 up by waiving indictment in this case. The document that 14 contains the charges to which you've indicated you wish to 15 plead quilty is called an information. That document has been issued by the United States Attorney for the Southern District 16 17 of New York. You have, however, a constitutional right to be 18 charged by an indictment rather than an information, and an 19 indictment is a charge that would be issued by a grand jury. 20 Do you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: I have before me a document labeled 23 "Waiver" -- it's not labeled, but a waiver of indictment. I'm 24 going to mark that as Court Exhibit 1.

Mr. Scaring, do you have that document?

```
1
               MR. SCARING: I do, your Honor, and I've handed it to
      the defendant.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, that document
 4
      appears to be signed by you. Is that correct, is that your
5
      signature on this document?
6
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: All right. And that document is dated
      July 28, 2021, correct?
8
9
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Did you read this document before you
11
      signed it?
12
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I did.
13
               THE COURT: Did you discuss it with Mr. Scaring?
14
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scaring, is that your
16
      signature on the document?
17
               MR. SCARING: It is, your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: All right. I do have one -- no, I'm fine
     with this.
19
20
               All right. Dr. Rhein, do you understand that if you
21
      don't waive indictment, if the government wishes to prosecute
22
      you on the facts and charges that are contained in the
23
      information, it would have to present that case to a grand
      jury, which might or might not indict you on the charge?
24
```

Do you understand that?

```
1
               THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
               THE COURT: Do you understand that you're under no
 2
 3
      obligation to waive indictment by a grand jury?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: Do you realize that by signing this waiver
6
      of indictment, you're giving up your right to have your case
 7
      presented to a grand jury?
8
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.
9
               THE COURT: Do you understand what a grand jury is,
10
      Dr. Rhein?
11
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: Have you been informed that a grand jury
13
      consists of 23 people, of which at least 16 would have to be
14
     present in order to conduct business, and you could not be
15
      charged unless at least 12 of those people vote in favor of
      indictment?
16
17
               Do you understand all of that?
               THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
18
19
               THE COURT: All right. Did anyone make any threats or
20
      any promises to you in order to get you to waive indictment?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor, they did not.
22
               THE COURT: Has any coercion been applied in order to
23
      get you to waive indictment?
24
               THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: Has anyone used force in order to get you
```

to waive indictment? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. Have you seen, Dr. Rhein, a copy of the superseding information that contains the charge 4 against you? 5 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Have you read this document carefully? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Do you understand the charges against you 12 that are laid out in this information? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, I can read the 15 superseding information out loud now into the record if you would like me to do so or you may waive public reading. Do you 16 17 need me to read the information aloud or do you waive public 18 reading? 19 THE DEFENDANT: I would waive the public reading, your 20 Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Dr. Rhein. 22 I do find that Dr. Rhein has knowingly and voluntarily 23 waived indictment by a grand jury. I accept and will so order 24 the waiver of indictment.

(212) 805-0300

Now, Dr. Rhein, before I accept any plea from you, I

need to ask you certain additional questions. Those questions are intended to satisfy me that you are pleading guilty because you are, in fact, guilty and that you fully understand your rights and the consequences of your plea. I'm now going to describe to you certain rights that you have under the Constitution and laws of the United States. You will be giving up these rights if you enter a plea of guilty, so please listen very carefully. If you don't understand something I'm saying, I remind you to please stop me, and either I or Mr. Scaring will explain it to you more fully, and if you need to talk to Mr. Scaring privately, we will make those arrangements.

Will you please let me know if you need either for me to clarify or to speak with Mr. Scaring?

THE DEFENDANT: I will, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, under the Constitution and the laws of the United States, you have a right to a speedy and a public trial by a jury on the charges against you, which are contained in the superseding information.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right to plead not guilty and to continue to plead not guilty to that charge?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a trial, you would be presumed innocent and the government would be required to prove you guilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt? You would not have to prove at trial that you were innocent.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you further understand that if there were a trial, the jury made up of 12 people selected from this district, and that's the Southern District of New York, would have to agree unanimously in order to find you guilty?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

If there were a trial, Dr. Rhein, you would have the right to be represented by an attorney at trial and at all other stages of the proceeding, and if you couldn't afford one, an attorney would be provided to you free of cost.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the right to see and to hear all of the witnesses against you, your attorney could cross-examine them, you would have the right to have your attorney object to the government's evidence and to offer evidence on your behalf if you so desired, you would have

```
the right to have witnesses required to come to court to
1
 2
      testify in your defense, and you would have the right to
 3
      testify yourself, but you would not be required to testify.
 4
               Do you understand all of that?
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a
 7
      trial and you decided not to testify, no adverse inference
      could be drawn against you based on your decision not to
8
9
      testify, and by that, I mean the jury would not be allowed to
10
      assume that you didn't testify because you're hiding something?
11
               Do you understand that?
               THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
12
13
               THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were
14
      convicted at trial, you would have the right to appeal that
15
      verdict?
16
               THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Do you understand each and every one of
18
      these rights, sir?
19
               THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: Do you have any questions about these
21
      rights?
22
               THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: Do you wish to speak to Mr. Scaring at
24
     this time?
```

No, your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand that by entering a plea of guilty today, you'll be giving up each and every one of these rights? You will be waiving these rights. And do you understand that you'll be waiving any possible claim that your constitutional rights may have been violated and you will not have a trial?

Do you understand all of that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you further understand that by entering a plea of guilty, you'll also have to give up the right not to incriminate yourself, because in a few moments, I will ask you questions about what you did in order to satisfy myself that you are guilty as charged, and you'll have to admit and acknowledge your guilt?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you can change your mind right now and refuse to enter a plea of guilty? You do not have to enter this plea if, for any reason, you do not want to do so.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, you've already told me that you received a copy of the superseding information in this case and that you've read it, correct?

25

1 THE DEFENDANT: That is correct, your Honor. THE COURT: Did Mr. Scaring discuss that information 2 3 with vou? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: All right. As I've said, you're charged 6 in Count One of the superseding information with misbranding 7 and adulterating drugs for use in the covert doping of thoroughbred racehorses. Specifically, the information charges 8 9 you with marketing and distributing drugs to horse trainers and 10 veterinarians to assist those people to improve the performance 11 of thoroughbred racehorses and to avoid detection by drug 12 regulators. 13 Is that your understanding, sir? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Mortazavi, would you please state for the record the elements of the offense with 16 17 which Dr. Rhein has been charged in Count One of the 18 superseding information. 19 MS. MORTAZAVI: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 MS. MORTAZAVI: If this case were to proceed to trial, 22 the government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt 23 the following elements of the charged crime: First, that the 24 defendant adulterated or misbranded a drug in at least one way

or caused another to adulterate or misbrand a drug;

1 Second, that the drug was in interstate commerce or was introduced into interstate commerce; 2 3 And, third, that the defendant had the intent to 4 defraud or mislead; 5 And, finally, the government would have to prove venue 6 by a preponderance of the evidence. 7 THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, do you understand that the government would have to prove each and every one of 8 9 those elements or parts of the offense that Ms. Mortazavi just 10 laid out with respect to Count One beyond a reasonable doubt at 11 trial if you did not plead guilty? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Do you understand the matters that the 14 government would have to prove if you didn't plead guilty? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, do you understand 16 17 that the maximum possible term of imprisonment for the offense 18 alleged in Count One to which you're pleading guilty is a term 19 of imprisonment of up to three years? 20 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Do you understand that Count One can also 22 include a maximum term of supervised release after you're 23 released from prison of one year? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do.

THE COURT: In addition to these restrictions on your

liberty, do you understand the maximum possible punishment for Count One also includes certain financial penalties? Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum fine allowed for the offense with which you're charged is \$10,000 or twice the gross pecuniary gain relating to the offense or twice the loss to others other than yourself as a result of the offense, whichever is greater?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that there's a mandatory superseding indictment of \$100 for each count to which you plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that as part of your plea agreement, you've agreed to forfeit to the United States a sum of money representing the proceeds traceable to the commission of the offense in Count One to which you are pleading guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Specifically, and this is related to the order that I asked for a copy of at the very beginning of today's hearing, specifically you have agreed to forfeit \$1,021,800, representing the value of forfeitable property you obtained as a result of the offense charged in

25

1 Count One. 2 Is that your understanding, sir? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. Do you understand that 5 pursuant to the preliminary order of forfeiture to which you have consented -- well, you have consented to that, correct? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: You had an opportunity to review that 9 preliminary order of forfeiture with your counsel? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. And pursuant to that preliminary order of forfeiture, you must pay at least \$671,800 12 13 of the forfeiture amount before I sentence you in this case. 14 Is that your understanding, sir? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And the remaining \$350,000 will be paid on 16 17 a schedule that's set out in the order of forfeiture. Is that 18 your understanding? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Mortazavi, is there a 21 schedule set out in this preliminary consent order, or are you 22 contemplating a subsequent order of forfeiture at the time of 23 sentencing?

paragraph 2, which is on page 3 of the consent order of

There is, your Honor.

It is in

MS. MORTAZAVI:

forfeiture.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Yes. And that specifies that the remaining \$350,000 will be paid within or by the expiration of 24 months following the latter of either the date of the defendant's sentencing or his release from any term of incarceration, correct?

MS. MORTAZAVI: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that your understanding, Dr. Rhein?

THE DEFENDANT: It is, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

The Court will enter the preliminary order of forfeiture, if I have not already said that.

Do you understand, Dr. Rhein, that pursuant to that preliminary order of forfeiture, a judgment will be entered against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do.

THE COURT: Give me one moment.

And there will be a money judgment in the amount of \$1,021,800 in United States currency, representing the value of forfeitable property that will be entered against you. That is your understanding, sir, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand, then, that if you fail to make payments pursuant to this order on the schedule that's laid out in the order, the government will be

able to seek the entire forfeiture amount of \$1,021,800 immediately?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you further understand that that forfeiture amount will not reduce the amount of any fine, restitution, cost of imprisonment, the mandatory special assessment, or any other penalty that the Court may impose?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Do you agree that you've also -- I'm sorry. Do you understand that you've also agreed in your plea agreement to make restitution to others who are not victims of the conduct charged in Count One in the amount of \$729,716?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand that payment of that restitution amount will be a condition of any period of parole or supervised release that is imposed at the time of sentencing?

THE DEFENDANT: I do. I do, your Honor, yes.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Mortazavi, I'd like you to explain two things to me. One is: Are you intending to provide the Court a list or evidence of who those victims are for purposes of the restitution payment?

MS. MORTAZAVI: Your Honor, yes. Typically, we include a schedule of victims that we submit to the Court under

seal, for obvious reasons, at the time of sentencing.

THE COURT: All right. You'll do that at the time of sentencing. In connection with your submission?

MS. MORTAZAVI: In connection with our submission, yes, your Honor, but, as I mentioned, it will be -- there will be an application to file that under seal given the victim information.

THE COURT: Yes. Yes, I understand that.

All right. Now, the further question I have for you, Ms. Mortazavi, first, I'd like you to explain on the record a statement, which, frankly, I find a little bit ambiguous. Let me pull out the plea agreement so that I'm quoting it precisely. This is with respect to forfeiture. Then I would like to hear from Mr. Scaring and Dr. Rhein about their understanding.

OK. On page 2, the second full paragraph towards the middle reads: "The defendant's restitution obligation shall be joint and several with any amount of restitution ordered by the Court to be paid by any other defendant reflecting restitution owed to victims of the offense conduct charged in Count Four, the mail and wire fraud conspiracy of *U.S. v. Navarro*, et al., at 620 Cr. 160 (NKV) or in any superseding charging document charging the same offense conduct."

Can you explain to me how that provision operates?

MS. MORTAZAVI: Certainly, your Honor. How this

provision is intended to operate, and as we've explained to defense counsel, if there are any defendants who are convicted of the same offense conduct, they will, of course, have to pay restitution as well. That will be a fixed amount that will be shared jointly and severally among the defendants. This provision is intended to make that explicit, that if anyone else is convicted and has a restitution obligation, that it will be joint and several, and it also allows for the possibility, by referencing a superseding charging document, of defendants pleading to a future information that would encompass the same offense conduct, but under a new instrument.

THE COURT: Other defendants?

MS. MORTAZAVI: Correct.

So if --

THE COURT: Does that have the potential to increase the restitution amount?

MS. MORTAZAVI: It likely does not. If the restitution amount were to change for some reason, what we would do is apportion the amount that is specified here that Dr. Rhein is responsible for as joint and several and the remainder as solely the obligation of the defendant who has to pay it who may plead in the future or be convicted in the future.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scaring, have you discussed this provision, in particular, with Dr. Rhein?

MR. SCARING: I have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Dr. Rhein, let me ask you, is your understanding of the restitution obligation, specifically the joint and several liability aspect of it, consistent with what Ms. Mortazavi has just outlined on the record?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions about the restitution obligation?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So let me ask you, then, do you understand, Dr. Rhein, that your obligation to make restitution is joint and several with any amount of restitution I order to be paid by any other defendant in this case in connection with conduct charged in Count Four of the superseding indictment numbered S6 in this case or any subsequent superseding indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand that that means the amount of your restitution payment may change, but only lessen -- correct, Ms. Mortazavi -- based on what I order other defendants to pay?

MS. MORTAZAVI: That's correct, your Honor, that that amount would be joint and several with any other defendant ordered to pay the same amount.

THE COURT: But the amount that Dr. Rhein is obligated

to pay cannot increase, is what I'm getting at, from the amount of \$729,716; it may only potentially be lessened if other jointly and severally liable defendants are ordered to pay restitution, correct?

MS. MORTAZAVI: Yes, that is correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that consistent with your understanding, Dr. Rhein?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

All right. Dr. Rhein, I want to give you some information about the supervised release aspect of your potential penalty. Supervised release means that once you are released from prison on any sentence that I might impose, you will be subject to monitoring, and certain terms and conditions will be imposed upon you. If you violate any of those set terms and conditions, you can be reimprisoned without a jury trial.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you're on supervised release and do not comply with any of the set terms and conditions, you can be returned to prison for up to one year and will be given no credit for the time you served in prison as a result of your sentence and no credit for the time that you spent on post-release supervision prior to any

violation?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do.

THE COURT: All right. You should understand,

Dr. Rhein, that there is no parole in the federal system. If

you are sentenced to prison, you will not be released early on

parole, although there is a limited opportunity to earn credit

for good behavior, but you would have to serve at least

85 percent of the time to which you are sentenced.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if I accept your guilty plea and adjudge you guilty, that adjudication may deprive you of certain valuable civil rights, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, the right to possess any kind of firearm, if you currently have or could otherwise obtain such rights?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do.

THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, you have told me that you are a United States citizen. I accept your representation, but, at the same time, I need to be clear on the record that if that is not, in fact, the case, or if you are a naturalized citizen, do you understand that as a result of your plea, there could then be adverse effects on your

immigration status, including deportation, following completion
 of your sentence, removal or deportation from the United
 States?

 Do you understand that?
 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do.

THE COURT: Did you discuss your immigration situation and possible consequences on your immigration status of your plea with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I did.

THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, I now want to talk to you about something called the sentencing guidelines. Under current law, there are sentencing guidelines that a judge must consider in determining your sentence.

Have you spoken with Mr. Scaring about the sentencing guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I have.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in addition to considering the sentencing guidelines, in imposing sentence, I must consider certain additional factors that are set forth in a statute known as Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Has Mr. Scaring explained to you those 3553 factors?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, he has, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand that the Court has discretion, while taking the sentencing guidelines into account, to sentence you to any period of imprisonment up to three years if you plead guilty to Count One?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even though the plea agreement includes a stipulated or agreed-to sentencing guidelines calculation, the Court cannot determine your sentence until after a presentence report has been completed by the probation department and you and your lawyer and the government each have a chance to review that report and to challenge any of the facts that are reported in it by the probation office?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that according to the plea agreement, and specifically pages 2 and 3, you have agreed with the government that the calculation of the guidelines range in this case results in a sentence range of 135 to 168 months, but because the statutory maximum penalty for the crime to which you tell me you wish to plead guilty is three years, the range appropriate to the offense in Count One is 36 months?

Is that consistent with your understanding?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, your Honor.

THE COURT: In addition, the applicable fine range for

the offense to which you are pleading guilty is \$35,000 to \$350,000, but, again, there is a maximum fine of \$10,000 or two times the -- excuse me, let me just find my notes.

I'm sorry. The maximum fine allowed is \$10,000 or twice the gross pecuniary gain relating to the offense or twice the loss to persons other than yourself as a result of the offense, notwithstanding that the guidelines calculation for the fine range is 35,000 to 350,000.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I think I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you need to speak with Mr. Scaring about it?

THE DEFENDANT: No. No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you have a question for me? You say you think you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: No, it was just -- I just took a moment to hear it all and take it all in. I do understand it.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

All right. Do you understand that that stipulation that you've entered into with the government does not bind the Court or the probation department with respect to the facts on which it's based, how to apply the guidelines to the facts, or what will be an appropriate sentence in your case?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do.

THE COURT: All right. Now, Dr. Rhein, as the Court reads the plea agreement, you've agreed to a number of enhancements that are applicable in this case, including the following: That the intended loss to victims of your conduct was between 25 million and 65 million;

That you used sophisticated means to accomplish your crime, which normally means operating across multiple jurisdictions, hiding assets or transactions, or using fictitious entities or offshore accounts;

Next, that you were an organizer or a leader of criminal activity that involved five or more persons;

And, finally, that you abused a position of public trust or used special skills to facilitate or conceal your crime.

Is it consistent with your understanding that you are stipulating to those enhancements?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand that I may decide to impose a sentence that is outside of the guidelines range, Dr. Rhein?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do.

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand that if your lawyer or anyone else has attempted to estimate or to predict for you what your sentence will be, their estimate or their prediction could well be wrong?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that no one -- not even your lawyer or the government -- can, nor should, give you any assurance of what your sentence will be since that sentence cannot be determined until after the probation department report is completed and I have ruled on any challenges to the report and I have determined what the appropriate sentence is?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even if your sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone else suggested to you that it might be or if it's different from what you expected or if you're surprised or disappointed by your sentence, if you enter a plea of guilty today, you will be bound to that guilty plea, and you will not be allowed to withdraw your plea at the time of sentencing.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand that even if the government doesn't oppose or take a position on what your attorney will ask me to impose as your sentence, I'm obligated to impose the sentence that I believe is appropriate under the circumstances and the applicable law, and you will have no right to withdraw your plea of guilty after today?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Do you understand that? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do. 3 THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right 4 to appeal your sentence under certain circumstances even if 5 your plea agreement provides that you're waiving your right to 6 appeal? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I understand. THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, are you serving any 8 9 state or federal sentence at this time? 10 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Are you being prosecuted right now for any 12 other crime, state or federal? 13 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. Let's talk, Dr. Rhein, about 15 the plea agreement in a little bit more detail. I have been given a copy of this agreement, which I believe we've marked as 16 17 Government Exhibit 1. If not, I will do that now.

Did you -- this is a seven-page letter on the letterhead of the United States Department of Justice for the Southern District of New York, dated July 28, 2021, addressed to Stephen P. Scaring, and it is signed by Andrew Adams and approved by Alexander Wilson on behalf of the government. It indicates it's been agreed and consented to, it appears, by you and by your lawyer.

Is that your signature, Dr. Rhein, on the last page of

1	this document?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Scaring, is that your signature
4	beneath Dr. Rhein's?
5	MR. SCARING: It is, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, did you read this
7	letter agreement before you signed it?
8	THE DEFENDANT: I did, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Did you discuss it fully with Mr. Scaring?
10	THE DEFENDANT: I did, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Did he explain to you all of its terms and
12	conditions?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, he did.
14	THE COURT: Did you fully understand the agreement
15	before you signed it?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I did.
17	THE COURT: Did you have ample opportunity to discuss
18	it with Mr. Scaring before you signed it?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Do you have any questions today about this
21	agreement?
22	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Do you need to discuss it with Mr. Scaring
24	before we proceed?
25	THE DEFENDANT: No. No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Do you understand, Dr. Rhein, that your plea agreement provides on pages 4 and 5 that you are giving up your right to appeal or to seek habeas corpus relief or otherwise challenge your sentence under the statute 28 United States Code, Section 2255 and/or Section 2241 if I sentence you within or below the guidelines range set forth in the plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you're under no obligation to waive your right to appeal or otherwise litigate your sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that your plea agreement provides on page 5 that you're waiving any right to appeal or attack your conviction on the basis that the government didn't provide discovery materials, exculpatory materials, excepting — I'm sorry, excepting information that would establish factual innocence or any other material to impeach government witnesses against you?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that, similarly, you are under no obligation to waive those rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have agreed in

this plea agreement, on page 3, that you will not move for a downward departure under the sentencing guidelines or seek any adjustment under the guidelines that is not described in the plea agreement, but you can make arguments for a lower sentence under the general sentencing statute that I described to you, Section 3553(a)?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes, your Honor, I do.

THE COURT: And do you understand that you're under no obligation to enter into any such agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that your plea agreement provides that you're waiving any challenge to your plea and your sentence based on any immigration consequences of your plea regardless of any advice that you may have received regarding immigration consequences?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you're under no obligation to make this waiver?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. I understand.

THE COURT: All right. Did you enter into this plea agreement with the government freely, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, your Honor.

THE COURT: Were there any threats, coercion, force used in order to induce you to enter into this agreement?

1	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Does the agreement accurately reflect your
3	complete and total understanding of the entire agreement
4	between the government, you, and your attorney?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it does, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Is everything you understand about your
7	plea and your sentence to this point covered in this plea
8	agreement?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, it is.
10	THE COURT: Has anything been left out?
11	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: All right. Do you have any questions
13	about the plea agreement?
14	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right. Apart from what's contained in
16	this plea agreement, have any promises been made to you in
17	order to get you to plead guilty?
18	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right. Knowing all of what we've just
20	discussed, Dr. Rhein, do you still wish to plead guilty
21	pursuant to this plea agreement?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do.
23	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scaring, do you know of
24	any valid reason why your client would prevail at trial or why
25	your client should not be permitted to plead guilty at this

time?

MR. SCARING: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, I would need for you at this time to tell me, in your own words, what it is that makes you guilty of the crime to which you are entering a plea. I need you to tell me what you did, when you did it, with whom you did it, why you did it.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. From at least in or about December 2016 through at least in or about March 2020, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, I marketed and distributed in interstate commerce misbranded and adulterated drugs to trainers and veterinarians of thoroughbred racehorses in an effort to assist those trainers and veterinarians to improve the performance of their racehorses through the administration of such misbranded and adulterated drugs while avoiding detection of that scheme by federal and state drug regulators.

THE COURT: All right. Sir, I mentioned to you earlier that you have agreed in your plea agreement to certain enhancements in connection with the sentencing guidelines. I'd like you to talk to me about those, please.

And then, Ms. Mortazavi, I would like to hear from the government, if there's anything additional you wish to say with respect to these enhancements.

All right. So the first is that the intended loss to

```
victims of your conduct was between 25 million and 65 million.
1
 2
      Dr. Rhein --
 3
               MR. SCARING: If I may respond first?
 4
               THE COURT: Who is speaking, please?
 5
               MR. SCARING: Stephen Scaring.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scaring, I can't see you.
 7
               MR. SCARING: I'm sorry, your Honor. Can you see me
      now?
8
9
               THE COURT: Yes, half of you.
10
               MR. SCARING: All right. Judge, I did not prepare him
11
      for those questions that your Honor has now addressed to him.
      I think it would be easier if -- and I have not discussed this
12
13
      in any great detail with the government. We've discussed it
14
      generally, but I'd like to hear first what the government has
15
      to say, and then I'd like a short recess to discuss it further
      with the defendant.
16
17
               THE COURT: All right. Ms. Mortazavi, any objection
      to that?
18
19
               MR. SCARING: I didn't anticipate that your Honor
20
      would --
21
               THE COURT: I'm sorry? No, I didn't hear the end of
22
     what Mr. Scaring said.
23
               MR. SCARING: I said I did not anticipate that your
24
     Honor would ask those questions.
```

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Mortazavi, as you know,

there comes a point in time I ask you what evidence you have. I do think it would be good, for the sake of good order, for the government to talk to or address these four enhancements, if you're able to do so. I will then allow a recess for Mr. Scaring to talk with Dr. Rhein, and I'd like Dr. Rhein to acknowledge that the government's — he does not disagree.

I mean, you've already agreed to these things in the plea agreement, and I'm simply trying to confirm that, in fact, that is the agreement. You've already said it is. But as with the allocution to the offense, I would like to know from the defendant that he is, in fact — that these enhancements are, in fact, true and accurate.

Ms. Mortazavi?

MS. MORTAZAVI: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you need a break now?

MS. MORTAZAVI: I do not, your Honor, and if it's more efficient, I'm happy to speak to the evidence that the government has and then also specifically address each enhancement in the plea agreement.

THE COURT: All right. That would be fine.

Dr. Rhein, listen very carefully because I'm taking this a little out of order. At a later point in time, I would ask the government to summarize its evidence against you after I asked you a few additional questions, but let's let Ms. Mortazavi do that now, and she will address these four

enhancements, and then after you speak with Mr. Scaring, I need to know from you, in your own words, are these enhancements, in fact, true and accurate and are you guilty of them.

Ms. Mortazavi.

MS. MORTAZAVI: Thank you, your Honor.

Were this case to proceed to trial, the government would present the following proof:

Wire intercepts of calls involving Kristian Rhein and others promoting and discussing SGF-1000, including the fact that that drug was untestable on drug tests, and including discussions regarding relabeling the product once there was increased law enforcement and regulatory scrutiny of the legality of using SGF-1000, particularly on racehorses.

We would have evidence of controlled purchases of SGF-1000 made before and after there was increased law enforcement scrutiny of the drug in which the labeling of the drug had been altered later so that the drug was described as homeopathic in order, specifically, to avoid regulatory detection.

Archived web pages advertising SGF-1000 on the website of its manufacturer with promotional materials stating that SGF-1000 contained, among other things, multiple growth factors and that it operated as a vasodilator. We would also have evidence of later versions of these same web pages, again after there was increased scrutiny of the drug, in which the

description was altered again to suggest that it was homeopathic.

False veterinary bills issued by Dr. Rhein's veterinary practice to racehorse owners in which charges for SGF-1000 were hidden, as well as charges for the prescription drug clenbuterol that was distributed to trainers without a valid prescription and that was not specifically included on those veterinary bills, but was, as with SGF-1000, hidden.

We would have proof of shipments of SGF-1000 into the United States from overseas into MediVet distribution center in Kentucky, MediVet being the distributing company. And we would also have shipping records showing that company's shipments of SGF-1000 across the United States, including into the Southern District of New York.

We would have lay and expert testimony that the drugs Dr. Rhein promoted and sold were not approved by the FDA; that they were mislabeled in multiple ways; that the drugs were distributed without valid prescriptions; and that MediVet, the manufacturer, was not registered to manufacture those drugs; and that Dr. Rhein and others in his veterinary practice distributed clenbuterol to trainers even though there was no valid prescription for distributing clenbuterol or administering clenbuterol to horses.

And, your Honor, to speak to the enhancements in the plea agreement, specifically to the extent my general overview

did not cover them, on page 2, under offense level paragraph 4, which states that the loss amounts --

THE COURT: You're talking about the plea agreement, I'm sorry?

MS. MORTAZAVI: Yes, your Honor. Pardon me.

THE COURT: Yes, thank you.

MS. MORTAZAVI: Page 2 of the plea agreement, paragraph 4 --

THE COURT: Dr. Rhein, you may want to look at this.

Thank you, Ms. Mortazavi. I'm sorry.

MS. MORTAZAVI: That's all right. I'm just waiting for Dr. Rhein to pick up the document. It seems he has it in front of him.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. MORTAZAVI: The offense level is increased by 22 levels because of the intended loss amount between \$25 million, but less than \$65 million. That represents the figure of first winnings of trainers who the government could prove were using SGF-1000 on their horses, and it is confined to the actual purse winnings that those trainers got from the horses who received SGF-1000.

The next enhancement is for sophisticated means, and that is applied, as I described earlier, because of the ways in which this drug was designed. In order to avoid detection, it was specifically --

22

23

24

25

MR. SCARING: I'm sorry, I'm not hearing. 1 MS. MORTAZAVI: Mr. Scaring, can you hear me now? 2 3 MR. SCARING: I can now. 4 MS. MORTAZAVI: OK. 5 THE COURT: When did you not --6 MR. SCARING: Please start over again. 7 THE COURT: At what point, on sophisticated means or 8 the loss amount? 9 MR. SCARING: No, sophisticated means. 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MS. MORTAZAVI: All right. With respect to the 12 sophisticated means, that is specifically because this drug was 13 designed and at least advertised in a manner such that it could 14 not be detectable on drug tests. That was something that 15 Dr. Rhein discussed with others, he discussed it in promoting the drug, and, in fact, the drug operated in such a manner as 16 17 to be undetectable on drug tests, even as there was a hefty 18 charge for that drug of several hundred dollars for multiple shots and even as it was continuously used by particular 19 20 trainers on their horses, and commentary was being made on the

As well, the manufacturer itself was well established in Kentucky, had a warehouse -- a fulfillment center and distributed this drug across the United States and employed

effects of the drug on the horses, which, apparently, the

trainers could anecdotally see.

Dr. Rhein as a consultant and as a representative and as someone who promoted and sold the drug, as well, through his veterinary practice.

The next enhancement is due to the defendant's position as an organizer or leader of criminal activity that involved five or more participants. That is because he was the head of his veterinary practice, which had five or more employees, and multiple people within his veterinary practice were privy to some of the conduct that I just described and helped facilitate that conduct in various ways.

And the next and final enhancement is that the defendant abused a position of public or private trust or used a special skill to facilitate the commission or concealment of the offense, and that is due to the defendant's position as a veterinarian, which is a skilled and licensed position. It allowed him to handle these drugs and store these drugs and make it appear as if they were legitimate because they were commingled with other drugs that he could lawfully have in his possession, when, in fact, this drug, in particular, was illegal because it was adulterated and misbranded.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Scaring, you wanted an opportunity to talk with Dr. Rhein, so we'll take a brief pause here.

Let me just make sure, Ms. Robles, our court reporter, are you OK with that?

```
1
               THE REPORTER: Yes, Judge. No problem.
               THE COURT: Thank you very much.
 2
 3
               We'll take a brief pause. I'm going to turn off my
 4
             Mr. Scaring, if you would please email my courtroom
      video.
 5
      deputy when you are ready to resume. And you should mute your
6
      line so that no one can hear your conversation with Dr. Rhein.
 7
               It is muted, I note for the record.
8
               (Recess)
9
               THE COURT: All right. Are we ready to proceed,
10
     Ms. Dempsey?
11
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Ms. Robles, are you able to
13
     hear me and --
14
               THE REPORTER: Yes, Judge, I am.
15
               THE COURT: Let's just do an audio check.
               Ms. Mortazavi, would you just weigh in so we can be
16
17
      sure everyone can hear you?
               MS. MORTAZAVI: Certainly. And I'll say for the
18
19
      record that I can see and hear your Honor and defense counsel
20
      and the defendant.
21
               THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Mortazavi.
22
               Mr. Scaring, can you hear me and Ms. Mortazavi?
23
               MR. SCARING: Yes, your Honor, I can hear you both and
24
      I can see both of you. Thank you.
25
               THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, are you able to see
```

```
1
      and hear me and Ms. Mortazavi?
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I am.
 3
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 4
               Ms. Robles, did you note for the record the time at
5
      which we took a break?
               THE REPORTER: I believe it was 12:20.
6
 7
               THE COURT: So the Court has roughly the same
      estimate, so the record should just reflect that counsel and
8
9
      Dr. Rhein were afforded plenty of time, certainly more than
10
      45 minutes, I think approaching more like an hour, to confer
      with one another.
11
12
               Are you prepared to proceed, Mr. Scaring?
13
               MR. SCARING: I am, your Honor. Thank you.
14
               THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, are you still
15
      wishing to proceed with entry of a plea of guilty?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
16
17
               THE COURT: All right. I had asked you when we broke
18
      to please provide me with details regarding the four
      enhancements that are laid out in the plea agreement. You
19
20
      previously told me that you did agree to those four
21
      enhancements, that you understood them, when I was talking with
22
      you earlier. You did not cover some of the specifics, although
23
      others, like, for example, abusing a position of trust or
     particular skill, obviously, you have told me that you are a
24
```

veterinarian, so, obviously, you do have particularized skill

and training. Nonetheless, Ms. Mortazavi laid out on the record what the government believes it would be able to prove with respect to the charges against you as well as, then, the enhancements. So you have heard the government's position. I would like to hear from you, in your own words, confirmation that each of the four enhancements, which are relevant to calculating what your sentence ought to be, are, in fact, true and accurate and that you're agreeing to them because they are, in fact, true.

So, Dr. Rhein?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. Thank you for your patience in this matter. It's greatly appreciated.

For the sentencing guidelines and the enhancements, the first being intended loss --

THE COURT: Yes.

THE DEFENDANT: -- I was advised by my attorney that the government's proof regarding the winnings of Jason Servis amounted to this number.

MR. SCARING: Give the number.

THE DEFENDANT: The 26 million. I am not -- I am not a part of the winnings, so I was not privy to that number other than what was provided to me.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scaring, you have the information from the government, and you have confirmed these details and spoken with Dr. Rhein, and, Dr. Rhein, you are

```
freely and voluntarily agreeing that the intended loss amount
1
      is greater than 25 million and less than 65 million?
 2
 3
               THE DEFENDANT: That is correct, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. And that is predicated on
 5
     purses for races -- hold on.
6
               MR. SCARING: I spoke to --
 7
               THE COURT: Hold on.
 8
               -- purses for races in which Jason Servis was the
9
      trainer of the horses?
10
               MR. SCARING: Was that directed to me or to my client?
               THE COURT: Your client.
11
12
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, that's what I was advised.
13
               THE COURT: All right. But is it Jason Servis to whom
14
      you provided --
15
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: -- the drugs?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes, your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
19
               The second enhancement -- let me pause there.
20
               Ms. Mortazavi, is there anything further that you
21
      believe I need to ask Mr. Rhein with respect to the intended
22
      loss amount?
23
               MS. MORTAZAVI: No, I do not, your Honor. We have
24
     discussed this at length with Mr. Scaring.
25
               THE COURT: All right. With respect to the
```

Dr. Rhein. Go ahead.

sophisticated means of committing the offense? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. Sophisticated means 3 that I, along with Jason Servis, concealed the administration 4 of SGF-1000 and clenbuterol from the owners by billing for 5 other services and dispensables to avoid scrutiny by the owners, that is correct. 6 7 THE COURT: And you did, in fact, do that, sir? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Do you specifically design things so that 10 these drugs could not be detectable, correct? 11 MR. SCARING: I'm sorry, your Honor, interrupting. 12 I'm not sure I understand that question. He said that -- when 13 you say "designed these," I don't understand that. 14 MS. MORTAZAVI: Your Honor --THE COURT: He designed his interactions with Jason 15 Servis and told the trainer, Mr. Servis, that the drugs would 16 17 not be detectable. Is that accurate? 18 MR. SCARING: Yes, it is, your Honor. I didn't understand how you used the word --19 20 THE COURT: Let me hear from the defendant. 21 Dr. Rhein, is that accurate? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes, your Honor, that is 23 correct. 24 THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. I'm sorry,

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Go ahead. You were going to say 1 something. I don't want to speak out of turn. I'm sorry. 2 3 THE COURT: I wasn't. I was going to ask 4 Ms. Mortazavi if she had anything further, but I interrupted 5 you when you said "Yes, that is correct." 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, yes. We were confused on design, 7 and I completely understand what you meant, so, yes. THE COURT: Is that accurate? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, that was what I 10 informed -- I informed my client of that fact as it pertained 11 to the drug SGF-1000, that it would be not able to be detected, 12 because that was provided to me by a person who had tested the 13 drug, and I'm -- so that was 100 percent a correct statement. 14 That's it. Sorry. 15 THE COURT: And your client is Jason Servis? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Ms. Mortazavi, anything further that I 18 need to ask Dr. Rhein in connection with the second 19 enhancement? 20 MS. MORTAZAVI: No, your Honor. And I believe he's 21 clarified, and it is the government's understanding he did not 22 personally create the drug, so he did not design it, but the 23

scheme was designed in such a way, that he took advantage of that fact, yes.

THE COURT: All right. With respect to the

enhancement involving you being an organizer or a leader of criminal activity involving five or more participants,

Dr. Rhein, would you please tell me, in your own words, the facts that support that enhancement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. That I, along with Jason Servis, were leaders and organizers of the five people, which included my associate, Dr. Alexander Chan, Jason's assistant, Henry Argueta, my other associate, Juliana Suarez, and Michael Keckley.

THE COURT: All right. And with regard to the fourth enhancement, that you abused a position of public or private trust or used a special skill in a manner that facilitated the commission or concealment of your offense?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, because I was a veterinarian, I am held to a much higher standard as a professional, and I betrayed that trust to the people that I was -- not only the horses I was taking care of, but also to all the people that listened to me and know me and trust in my professional opinion.

THE COURT: All right. And you are licensed as a veterinarian, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Anything further,

Ms. Mortazavi, that you wish me to address in connection with
defendant's plea allocution?

1	MS. MORTAZAVI: No, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, the only question
3	I'd like to ask you additionally is when you did all of what
4	you've described to me, both before or after the break, did you
5	know that what you were doing was wrong?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I see
7	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
8	THE DEFENDANT: Go ahead.
9	THE COURT: No, you said did you know it was wrong?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: And you were going to continue.
12	THE DEFENDANT: I was going to say that it was not
13	it's OK. I'm sorry, I just have a lot on my mind.
14	THE COURT: All right. Did you know at the time you
15	did what you did, that what you were doing was illegal?
16	THE DEFENDANT: I'm under oath, your Honor.
17	MR. SCARING: May I have a word with my client, your
18	Honor?
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	MR. SCARING: We'll just step out.
21	(Counsel conferred with defendant)
22	THE COURT: All right. Are you ready to proceed,
23	Dr. Rhein?
24	You're muted on your end, Mr. Scaring.
25	Yes, Dr. Rhein, are you ready to proceed?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. I posed the question to you, 3 at the time that you did what you told me you did, did you know 4 that it was wrong and illegal? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I did. 6 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Mortazavi, is there 7 anything further at this point that the government wishes me to address with Dr. Rhein? 8 9 MS. MORTAZAVI: No, your Honor. I believe the Court 10 has asked all the questions necessary in order to accept his 11 plea. 12 THE COURT: All right. Anything further from you, 13 Mr. Scaring? 14 MR. SCARING: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Mortazavi, you have fully outlined on the record previously the evidence that the 16 17 government would intend to introduce, correct? 18 MS. MORTAZAVI: That's correct, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, having had the 20 conversation we've had about your plea agreement and the 21 possible penalties of the crime to which you are pleading, the 22 Court is prepared to turn at this time to entry of your plea. 23 Are you prepared to proceed? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, how do you plead to

```
Count One of the superseding information charging you with drug
1
      adulteration and misbranding with the intent to mislead
 2
 3
      regulators?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
               THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty, Dr. Rhein,
 5
      because you are, in fact, quilty?
6
 7
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
               THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily?
 8
9
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: And are you doing so of your own free
11
      will?
12
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you, coerced you,
14
     made promises to you, or used violence in any way to induce you
15
      to plead guilty?
16
               THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scaring, are there any
18
      other questions you believe I should ask Mr. Rhein -- I'm
19
      sorry, ask Dr. Rhein at this point?
20
               MR. SCARING: No, your Honor. Thank you.
21
               THE COURT: Ms. Mortazavi, are there any other
22
      questions you believe I need to ask Dr. Rhein in connection
23
      with his plea?
24
               MS. MORTAZAVI:
                               No, your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, you have
```

acknowledged that you are, in fact, guilty as charged in Count One of the superseding information. Because I am satisfied that you know your rights, including your right to go to trial, and that you are waiving those rights voluntarily, and you're aware of the consequences of your plea, including the sentence that may be imposed, I find that your plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily and is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of the offense. I accept your guilty plea, and I now enter a judgment of guilty on Count One of the superseding information charging you with drug adulteration and misbranding with the intent to defraud or mislead.

Now, Dr. Rhein, the next step in the process -- I alluded to this earlier -- is that the probation department, probation officer, will prepare a presentence report to assist me in determining the appropriate sentence in this case.

You'll be interviewed by the probation office. It's very important that the information you give the probation officer be truthful and it be accurate. That report is very important to me and my decision about what an appropriate sentence will be. You and your lawyer have a right and an opportunity, as I said before, to examine that report once a draft is prepared, as will Ms. Mortazavi and the other government lawyers, you'll have the right to challenge it, to comment on that report, before it is finalized. At the time of your sentencing, you

24

25

will have the opportunity to speak on your own behalf. 1 2 Is there any reason, in the opinion of counsel, why I 3 should not direct a presentencing report to be prepared? 4 MR. SCARING: No, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Scaring? MR. SCARING: No, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Ms. Mortazavi? 8 MS. MORTAZAVI: No, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scaring, do you wish to be 10 present for any interview in connection with that report? 11 MR. SCARING: Yes, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. I direct that there be no 13 interview unless counsel is present. 14 Mr. Scaring and Dr. Rhein, are you available to meet 15 with probation over the next two weeks? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Scaring? 18 MR. SCARING: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Mortazavi, I'd remind you, 20 too, that the government is obligated over the next two weeks 21 or within the next two weeks to provide to the probation 22 department the statement of relevant facts.

And, Mr. Scaring, I am directing you, please, to reach out to the probation department to arrange the interview to be scheduled within the next 14 days.

```
1
               Sentencing in this case -- let me just pull my
      calendar. Do you all have your calendars available?
 2
 3
               MS. MORTAZAVI: Yes, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Mr. Scaring?
 5
               MR. SCARING: I do, your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. Ms. Dempsey, what do we look
 6
 7
      like the week of November 29?
8
               Ms. Dempsey, are you with us?
9
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: I apologize, your Honor.
                                                            I had to
10
     pick up a phone call.
11
               THE COURT: Not a problem.
12
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Sorry.
13
               THE COURT: I don't know if you heard. I asked you,
14
     do we have availability the week of November 29, like the 30th
      or December 1st or 2nd?
15
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: We do. December 2nd --
16
17
               THE COURT: All right.
18
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: -- we have availability.
19
               THE COURT: Does that present a problem for you,
20
     Mr. Scaring or Dr. Rhein?
21
               MR. SCARING: No, your Honor, not for me.
22
               For Dr. Rhein?
23
               THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: All right. Ms. Mortazavi, does that work
25
      for the government?
```

1 MS. MORTAZAVI: Yes, the government is available then. THE COURT: All right. We will set sentencing, then, 2 3 for December 2. I would just remind counsel, then, that 4 pursuant to my individual practice rules, courtesy copies of 5 the probation report are to be provided to chambers. Defense 6 submissions are due two weeks prior to the sentencing date, so 7 that would be November 18. The only problem I see with this, that would make the government submissions due on Thanksgiving. 8 9 MS. MORTAZAVI: If it's all right with the Court, we 10 would ask that our sentencing letter or submission be due on 11 the 24th, the day before Thanksgiving. 12 THE COURT: Is that workable for you? I am shortening 13 you by one day then. 14 MS. MORTAZAVI: That's all right, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. November 24, then, will be the government's submission, and, as I say, the defense submissions 16 17 are due, then, two weeks before, on the 18th of November. 18 MR. SCARING: Just to clarify, your Honor --THE COURT: Yes. 19 20 MR. SCARING: -- our defense submissions are due 21 November 18? 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. SCARING: Yes. OK. I just wanted to clarify. 24 THE COURT: Is that the question? 25 MR. SCARING: Yes.

```
THE COURT: Yes, November 18, two weeks prior to the
      sentencing date, which is set for December 2.
 2
 3
               MR. SCARING: Thank you, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. Dr. Rhein, I would just remind
 5
      you, I told you that you will be interviewed by the probation
6
      office. I just remind you that failure to be truthful with the
 7
      probation office and obviously with the Court could have
      adverse effects on your sentence, could subject you to further
8
9
      prosecution. In addition, I reserve the right to deny you the
10
      two-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility under the
11
      sentencing quidelines which the government has stipulated to
12
      with you, and, obviously, the government may not make the
13
     motion for a further reduction if you do not cooperate fully in
14
      connection with the presentence report or I may deny that
15
     motion.
              All right?
16
               Is there --
17
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
               THE COURT: Is there anything further, Mr. Scaring?
19
               MR. SCARING: Nothing further, your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: Anything further, Ms. Mortazavi?
21
               MS. MORTAZAVI: Just a question, your Honor, as to
22
      whether I missed the time of the sentencing on December 2?
23
               THE COURT: No, you didn't miss it.
24
               Ms. Dempsey, what time?
25
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Is 10 a.m. workable for everyone?
```

```
MS. MORTAZAVI: The government is available.
1
 2
               MR. SCARING: Yes, for the defendant.
 3
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 4
               Mr. Scaring, that's good for you?
 5
               Mr. Scaring, I want the record clear. Is that good
      for you, 10 a.m.?
6
 7
               MR. SCARING: Your Honor, thank you.
               THE COURT: Is that yes?
8
9
               MR. SCARING: Yes.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11
               All right. So 10 a.m. on December 2. There being no
12
      further business before the Court, then, I just thank very much
13
      our court reporter, Ms. Robles, for being with us today and
14
     most particularly for your patience during the long break that
15
     we took.
16
               All right. We will stand adjourned. I wish everyone
17
      a good rest of the day.
18
               (Adjourned)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```